1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DENNIS LEMONT KNIGHT, Plaintiff,

v.

COLISEUM LEXUS OF OAKLAND,

Defendant.

Case No.17-cv-05332-JSC

ORDER DISMISSING CASE FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

Re: Dkt. Nos. 13, 14

In this civil action for breach of contract, Plaintiff Dennis Lemont Knight seeks to recover \$2 million in damages from Defendant Coliseum Lexus of Oakland following a vehicle repossession. (Dkt. No. 1.) The Court denied Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis without prejudice because Plaintiff had neither completed nor signed the application. 1 (Dkt. No. 6.) Plaintiff was ordered to file an amended motion to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the filing fee. Plaintiff thereafter paid the filing fee; however, the check was returned for insufficient funds. (Dkt. No. 11.) The Court then ordered Plaintiff to submit the filing fee of \$453.00 by November 3, 2017 and warned him that failure to do so could result in dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute. (Dkt. No. 13.) Instead of paying the filing fee, Plaintiff submitted a letter wherein he appears to dispute that his check was returned for insufficient funds stating that as a "private American" he "used what the Government has given me as a remedy, a negotiable Instrument." (Dkt. No. 14 at 1.) Plaintiff also states that "As an American and not a Corporate Fiction I am entitled to have a case opened with fee payment." (Id.)

The Court DISMISSES this action for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff's initial application to

Plaintiff has consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). (Dkt. No. 8.)

United States District Court Northern District of California

proceed in forma pauperis was denied as incomplete. Rather than submitting an amended
application, Plaintiff elected to pay the filing fee; however, the check purporting to do so was
returned for insufficient funds. Although Plaintiff was given a further opportunity to pay the filing
fee, he elected not to do so, and instead, submitted a response which appears to dispute the
outstanding filing fee. The docket, however, clearly reflects an invoice from the Clerk's Office
stating that Plaintiff's check was returned for insufficient funds. (Dkt. No. 11.)
Accordingly, the Court dismisses this action without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil

Accordingly, the Court dismisses this action without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 8, 2017

ACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge

28

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 DENNIS LEMONT KNIGHT, 7 Case No. 17-cv-05332-JSC Plaintiff, 8 v. **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 9 COLISEUM LEXUS OF OAKLAND, 10 Defendant. 11 12 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. 13 District Court, Northern District of California. 14 That on November 8, 2017, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by 15 placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by 16 depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery 17 receptacle located in the Clerk's office. 18 19 Dennis Lemont Knight 1715 Julian Ct 20 El Cerrito, CA 94530 21 22 Dated: November 8, 2017 23 24 Susan Y. Soong Clerk, United States District Court 25 26 27

Ada Means, Deputy Clerk to the

3

Honorable JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY